## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

# PCT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

4	***************************************				
Applicant's or agent's file reference 112925	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416).			
International Application No.	International Filing Do (day/month/year)	Date Priority Date (day/month/year)			
PCT/AU2003/000229	24 February 2003	22 February 2002			
International Patent Classification (IF	°C) or national classification a	nd IPC			
Int. Cl. 7 A61F 11/04	4°				
Applicant	······				
COCHLEAR LIMITED et	<b>2</b> }				
	***************************************				
1. This international preliminary exp	mination report has been prep	pared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and			
is transmitted to the applicant acc	orang to Arneie 36,				
2. This REPORT consists of a total	of 6 sheets, including this c	over sheet.			
This report is also accompa	mied by ANNEXES, i.e., shee	ts of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been			
70.16 and Section 607 of the	tor tous report and/or sheets co ne Administrative Instructions	ntaining rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule under the PCT).			
These annexes consist of a					
<ol><li>This report contains indications re</li></ol>	slating to the following items:				
I X Basis of the report					
II Priority		~			
III X Non-establishment	of opinion with regard to nove	th regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability			
IV Lack of unity of inv		i de la companya de			
V X Reasoned statemen	burned				
f was f	V X Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
VI Certain documents	cited	·			
VII Certain defects in it	he international application				
VIII X Certain observation	Certain observations on the international application				
Date of submission of the demand	\$ · ·	Jate of completion of the report			
		24 May 2004			
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/AU		Authorized Officer			
AUSTRALIAN PATENT OPFICE PO BOX 200, WODEN ACT 2606, AUSTRALIA  O. Mellical					
B-mail address: pet@ipaustralia.gov.au Facsimile No. (02) 6285 3929	*	PAVID MELHUISH			

Telephone No. (02) 6283 2426

## , INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/AU2003/000229

I.	********					
1	The state of the s					
	X the internati	onal application as originally filed.				
	the descript	ion, pages, as originally filed,				
		pages , filed with the demand,				
-		pages, received on with the letter of				
	the claims,	pages , as originally filed,				
		pages , as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19,				
	v	pages , filed with the demand,				
		pages, received on with the letter of				
	the drawing	s, pages , as originally filed,				
		pages , filed with the demand,				
***************************************	himin	pages , received on with the letter of				
	the sequence	2 listing part of the description:				
		pages , as originally filed				
		pages , filed with the demand				
		pages, received on with the letter of				
2.	2. With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language is which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.  These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language—which is:  the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).					
		of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).				
	******					
	and/or S5.3)	of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2				
3.	preliminary exai	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:    Contained in the international application in written form.				
	james,	r with the international application in conquiter readable form.				
	Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna	beequently to this Authority in written form.				
	A	becquently to this Authority in computer resulable form.				
	promone	•				
	international	nt that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the application as filed has been furnished.				
	The statemer been furnish	nt that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has ed				
4.	The amendm	cents have resulted in the cancellation of:				
	The c	description, pages				
	the c	claims, Nos.				
	fac	drawings, sheets/lig.				
5.	This report h	as been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to e disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule $70.2(c)$ ).**				
*	Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Bules 10.16 and 10.17).					
**		heet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report				

## , INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/AU2003/000229

m		Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability			
1.	The indus	The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be nonobvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:			
		the entire international application,			
	X	claims Nos: 47 and 48			
	beca	ause:			
		the said international application, or the said claims Nos. require an international preliminary examination (specify):			
		the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):			
		the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be			
	Sections	formed.			
	X	no international search report has been established for said claim Nos. 47 and 48			
l	A meaningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the failure of the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Amer. C of the Administrative Instructions;				
		the written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the standard.			
		the computer readable form has not been furnished or does not comply with the standard.			

International application No.

PCT/AU2003/000229

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Statement				
Novelty (N)	Claims 1-41,43-45	YES		
	Claims 42, 46, 49	NO		
Inventive step (IS)	Claims 5, 7 - 34, 36, 37, 39 - 41, 44, 45	YES		
	Claims 1-4, 6, 35, 38, 42, 43, 46, 49	NO		
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1 - 46, 49	YES		
	Claims	NO		

Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

#### NOVELTY (N) Claims 42, 46 and 49:

D1 - US 5443493 A

D2 - US 6070105 A

D3 - US 6125302 A

D1 discloses the features of claims 42 and 46. Figures 2 and 4 of the citation show a pre-curved electrode array 12 maintained in a straight configuration by straightening member 21. As the array is inserted into the cochlea by moving button 32 the straightening member is prevented from also moving into the cochlea as it is attached to tube 26. Therefore all features of claims 42 and 46 are disclosed by D1.

D2 discloses all the features of claim 49. Figure 2D of D2 shows an electrode array 121' for insertion into a cochlea, a straightening member 138 with a portion extending beyond the proximal end of the array and the portion including a spherical member.

D3 discloses the features of claims 42 and 46. Figures 12 and 13A show a pre-curved array 10, straightening member 62 and insertion device 64. Column 7 lines 44 to 62 describes how the straightening member 62 is progressively removed as the array is advanced into the cochlea.

#### INVENTIVE STEP (IS) Claims 1-4.6.35.38.42.43.46.49:

Claims 42,46 and 49: As per novelty above.

Claim 43 is not considered to involve an inventive step. D1 discloses all the features of claim 43 except for the loading cartridge. However first mounting the array into a cartridge before loading into the insertion device is not considered to involve an inventive step. I consider that this difference between the claimed invention and the citation constitutes no more than a mere workshop improvement. It is an arrangement that any competent worker in the art would be expected to make directly and without difficulty and by routine steps alone. Therefore the claimed invention does not involve an inventive step.

## , INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

r		PCT/AU2003/000229				
vm.	Certain observations on the international application					
The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:						
1. Claim 40 lacks clarity because it seems as if it should be appended to claim 40 instead of 39, as claim 40 defines that the housing is angled.						
		· ·				
		e de la companya de l				
	»					

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/AU2003/000229

#### Supplemental Box

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

#### Continuation of Box V

D3 renders claims 1-4, 6, 35 and 38 non-inventive. Figures 12 and 13A of D3 show an electrode array that is straightened by stylet 62. The device for implanting the array includes a main body 64. Column 7 lines 44 to 62 describe how the electrode array is simultaneously pushed off the stylet and out of the main body. While no "positioning member" is explicitly disclosed, the citation describes how a "pushing or extraction force may be applied" against shoulder 17. An instrument would be used to exert this force, this instrument being the "positioning member" of claim 1. Pushing the electrode array off the stylet would also require the stylet to be held, requiring the existence of a "capture member". Therefore the citation discloses all the features of claim 1 except that the features are not connected to form "a device". However a device that merely mimics what is done by hand is not considered to be inventive. I consider that this difference between the claimed invention and the citation constitutes no more than a mere workshop improvement. It is an arrangement that any competent worker in the arr would be expected to make directly and without difficulty and by routine steps alone. Therefore the claimed invention does not involve an inventive step.